

# **EXHIBIT 261**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Civil Action No. 4:20-CV-957-SDJ

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

\* \* \* \* \*

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

OF

JONATHAN VAN PATTEN

Taken at  
1302 E. Highway 14  
Pierre, South Dakota  
April 29, 2024

A P P E A R A N C E S

JAMIE ALAN AYCOCK, ESQ. (In Person)  
ALEX ADES, ESQ. (Remotely)  
Yetter Coleman LLP  
811 Main Street, Suite 4100  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713) 632-8089  
jamieaycock\_@yettercoleman.com  
aades@yettercoleman.com

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT.

JONATHAN P. WILKERSON, ESQ. (In Person)  
RYAN D. ELLIS, ESQ. (Remotely)  
The Lanier Law Firm  
6810 Cypress Creek Parkway  
Houston, Texas 77069  
(713) 659-5200  
jonathan.wilkerson@lanierlawfirm.com  
ryan.ellis@lanierlawfirm.com

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS.

REBECCA HARTNER, ESQ.  
South Carolina Attorney General's Office  
P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211  
(803) 734-5855  
rhartner@scag.gov

APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA  
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE.

AMANDA J. WENTZ, ESQ.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of Attorney General Tim Griffin  
323 Center Street, Suite 200  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201  
(501) 682-1178  
amanda.wentz@arkansasag.gov

APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF  
ARKANSAS.

A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)

ZACH RICHARDS, ESQ.

Assistant Attorney General  
Office of Consumer Protection  
Office of the Kentucky Attorney General  
1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
(502) 696-5519  
zach.richards@ky.gov

APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF THE KENTUCKY OFFICE  
OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

SCOTT RYTHER, ESQ.

Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section  
Utah Office of the Attorney General  
160 East 300 South, 5th Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114  
(801) 366-0324  
sryther@agutah.gov

APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF UTAH.

OBINNA ILOANI, ESQ.

Antitrust Division  
Office of the Attorney General  
State of Texas  
300 W. 15th Street, 7th Floor  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 463-1713  
obinna.iloani@oag.texas.gov

APPEARING REMOTELY ON BEHALF OF TEXAS OAG.

Also Present: Ashley Burg (Videographer)

1 Q Did you do anything else, though, to look into the  
2 extent to which South Dakota agencies were using  
3 display advertising technology?

4 A I did not.

5 Q You agree that the alleged harm to South Dakota's  
6 general welfare and economy is based on publishers  
7 and advertisers experiencing decreased revenue,  
8 lower quality, and higher prices, right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And can you describe: How widespread is that impact  
11 to the State of South Dakota?

12 A Well, Google's presence is nationwide. Well, it's  
13 worldwide, but it's -- the phenomenon is certainly  
14 in this case nationwide and present in South Dakota.

15 So I could see that there were display  
16 advertising in various publishers that I looked at.  
17 Some had subscription requirements so I didn't go  
18 any further, like the Argus Leader. But, like, the  
19 TV stations are -- their websites are full of  
20 display advertising that is, I believe, through  
21 Google.

22 Q And why do you believe that's through Google?

23 A Because I'm not aware of others that are doing it.

24 Q You're not aware of any other display advertising  
25 technology providers than Google?

1 A I am not aware of others.

2 Q Okay. So you're just assuming that those display  
3 ads are somehow affiliated with Google?

4 A I -- we -- the state has alleged a monopolization.  
5 And so if they don't have a hundred percent, they've  
6 got monopoly power. There may be others, but I  
7 don't know.

8 Q Okay.

9 Does South Dakota contend that publishers and  
10 advertisers make up a substantial segment of the  
11 South Dakota population?

12 A Define "substantial" for me, please.

13 Q What does substantial mean to you?

14 A I would say significant.

15 Q So does South Dakota contend that publishers and  
16 advertisers make up a significant portion of South  
17 Dakota's population?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And why is that?

20 A Because -- well, now I gotta -- I don't like the  
21 question here. Here's the problem: You started  
22 with -- you started with publishers and advertisers  
23 and then asked if they were part of South Dakota's  
24 population. And I don't like that because I think  
25 they're part of the injured class whether they are

1 part of South Dakota's population.

2 In other words, I think the state's sovereignty  
3 and parens patriae power covers actions within the  
4 state's boundaries. So I wouldn't refer to South  
5 Dakota -- yes, you could refer to a South Dakota  
6 advertiser, but the advertiser doesn't have to be in  
7 South Dakota; it has to advertise in South Dakota.  
8 Same with the publishers.

9 So I think to the extent when you say the South  
10 Dakota population, as I understand it, the economy  
11 encompasses those who are acting in South Dakota.  
12 And I think that publishers and advertisers are a  
13 significant part of that economy.

14 Q Has the impact to South Dakota's general welfare and  
15 economy been measured in any way -- in any way,  
16 period?

17 A Could you restate that question? I just don't like  
18 "in any way". I'm not sure what you mean.

19 Q I'm just asking sort of more globally, not with  
20 reference to any either presuit, postsuit. Has  
21 there been any effort to measure the impact to South  
22 Dakota's general welfare and economy based on the  
23 alleged misconduct of the --

24 MR. WILKERSON: Objection, form. Objection to  
25 the extent any work product.

1 Just facts that aren't part of our strategy or  
2 experts or anything with work product or mental  
3 impressions.

4 A Well, not only work product, we expect there will be  
5 a disclosure of measurements in the expert reports  
6 which are forthcoming.

7 Q (By Mr. Aycock) So other than the expert reports  
8 that will be issued in this case, are you aware of  
9 any efforts to measure the impact to South Dakota's  
10 general welfare and economy of the alleged  
11 misconduct in this case?

12 A Well, because of the, I think I heard 6 million  
13 documents produced by Google, there's evaluation of  
14 those documents and -- by counsel and also then on  
15 to the experts, and I believe that's where the  
16 measurement is occurring.

17 Q Before the lawsuit was filed, did South Dakota  
18 determine that there was an impact to the state's  
19 general welfare and economy, though?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And how did the state make that determination?

22 A I think the evaluators brought their experience,  
23 along with the information provided by the pre --  
24 prelitigation investigation and determined that  
25 there was harm to the general welfare of South



CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA )

ss.

COUNTY OF PENNINGTON )

BE IT KNOWN that I, Cindy K. Pfingston, a  
Registered Professional Reporter, took the deposition of:

JONATHAN VAN PATTEN,

pursuant to Notice and/or Stipulation; that I was  
then and there a Notary Public in and for said  
County and State; that I exercised the power of that  
office in taking said deposition; that by virtue  
thereof, I was then and there authorized to  
administer an oath; that said witness, before  
testifying, was duly sworn to testify to the truth, the  
whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to  
the cause specified therein;

That the said deposition having been  
transcribed, was subsequently submitted by me to the said  
witness, who thereupon read the said deposition and made  
changes or corrections, if any, as appear noted therein,  
along with the reason for each thereof, and that the said  
deposition was thereupon subscribed to by the said  
witness; that the examination was conducted at the time  
and place therein specified on behalf of the respective  
parties as therein indicated; that the foregoing and

1 attached 85 typewritten pages contain a full, true,  
2 accurate and correct transcript of my stenotype notes, as  
3 they purport to contain, then and there taken;

4 That I am neither attorney nor counsel  
5 for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to  
6 the action in which said deposition is taken; and  
7 further, that I am not a relative or employee of any  
8 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto  
9 or financially interested in the action.

10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have retained the  
11 original copy of said deposition for the purpose of  
12 filing with the taking attorney.

13 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 30th day  
14 of April, 2024.

15  
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 Cindy K. Pfingston  
18 Registered Professional Reporter  
19 and Notary Public  
20 Pennington County,  
21 Rapid City, South Dakota  
22 My Commission expires: 2-4-2028  
23  
24  
25